PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

NTE To:	RNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTH	ORITY		PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	pplicant's or agent's file reference ee form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	national application I/GB2004/00432		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.10.2003		
E04	national Patent Clas H12/18 icant IILE LIMITED	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
1.	This opinion o	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:			
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op		g	·		
	⊠ Box No. II	Priority	off flots				
	Box No. III	_	nent of oninion with rea	ard to novelty inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	•	ard to noverty, inventiv	· · · · ·		
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state		s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
	Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION					
	written opinion of the applicant che	of the Internationa coses an Authori reau under Rule	al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA"). He be the IPEA and the	usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority		
	submit to the IPI	EA a written reply date of mailing of	y together, where appro	priate, with amendme	PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,		
	For further optio	ns, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.				
3.			Form PCT/ISA/220.				
٥.	. or idealer detai	io, ace notes to r	Jim I O INGPUEZO.				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

Fordham, A

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3755



10/575117

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004328

		AP20 Roo'd PCT/FTO 07 APR 2006			
	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion			
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in inguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With neces	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and cessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. typ	e of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. for	mat of material:			
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	t	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
4	Δddit	ional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004328

Bo	ox No. II Prio	rity					
1. 🛛	The following document has not been furnished:						
	⊠ сору	of the earlier app	licatio	n whose pri	ority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
	□ trans	ation of the earlie	er appl	ication who	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
					ler the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
2. 🗆	has been fou		43 <i>bis</i> .	.1 and 64.1)	ty had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim. Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ne relevant date.		
3. Ac	ditional observ	ations, if necessa	ary:				
			-				
					bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement		
. St	atement		•				
Nic	ovelty (N)		Yes:	Claims	10,11		
	, (,		No:	Claims	1-9,12		
lnv	ventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	10,11		
			No:	Claims	1-9,12		
ind	dustrial applicat	oility (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12		

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

80/575117 IAP20ROJUPCT/PTO U7 APR 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004328

1 Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1 : FR-A-2 633 000 D2 : US-A-6 276 811 D3 : GB-A-2 238 333

1.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (see for example Fig. 7, the references in parentheses applying to this document): a freestanding, portable, extendible mast arranged to support* a detection device., comprising a plurality of telescopic sections, each being moveable between a stored position and an extended position, wherein the mast is between 9.14 and 39.62 metres (i.e. between 30 and 130 feet)** in length when all the sections are in the elongated position***.

(*In the absence in the claim of any concrete technical feature associated with this expression, it has been interpreted to mean "suitable for supporting" - see PCT International Search and Preliminary Examination Guidelines 5.23;

- ** The unit of measure employed in claim 1 is not additionally expressed in terms of the units stipulated by Rule 10.1(a) PCT;
- *** cf. p.1, line 7 of document D1)
- 1.2 Dependent claims 2-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty, see documents D1, D2, D3, the corresponding passages cited in the search report and Box V of Form PCT/ISA/237.
- 1.3 Furthermore, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12, to the extent that it can be understood*, is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, its combination of features* being already known from any of documents D1, D2 or D3.

(*The terms used in claim 12 are vague and unclear and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear, Article 6 PCT.)

1.4 The document D3 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of independent claim 10 and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

an extendible mast (3) comprising a plurality of telescopic sections (10-13), moveable between a stored position and an extended position, the first section (10) being provided with a longitudinal slot (10c), and an aperture (i.e. one of the three screw holes visible in Fig. 4); the mast further comprising a chain and sprocket wheel drive mechanism (6, 8, 24 etc.) for extending the sections, the chain including a linker (8) for connecting the chain to the section to be extended (i.e. second section 11).

The subject-matter of claim 10 differs from this known mast in that <u>each</u> section is provided with a longitudinal slot.

The subject-matter of claim 10 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as simplifying the structure of the mast, the technical effect of the above-mentioned difference being the possibility to eliminate the mechanism contained within the mast described in document D3, which ensures that as second "pipe post" 11 is extended with respect to first "pipe post" 10, the remaining sections of the mast (i.e. "pipe posts" 12 and 13) also extend.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 10 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

Neither D3 nor any other available relevant prior art document discloses or even suggests attaching the chain and sprocket wheel drive mechanism to each mast section separately and in succession. In the absence of such a disclosure or suggestion the skilled person would be reluctant to do so since this would make the raising and lowering of the mast more cumbersome and time-consuming.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004328

1.5 Claim 11 is dependent on claim 10 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.